

To: Smith, Paula[Smith.Paula@epa.gov]
From: Russo, Rebecca
Sent: Fri 8/14/2015 10:09:44 PM
Subject: For your approval please

Paula,

May I get your buy-in on this process. Nichole Distefano and Carolyn Levine (OCIR) and Janie Acevedo have reviewed and approved.

1. All congressional inquiries that come into Region 8 directly or from HQ should go to Rebecca Russo, Region 8 Regional Congressional Liaison (RCL).
2. Any Region 8 employee who receives a Congressional inquiry should forward it immediately to Rebecca Russo and cc EOC_Liaison. *(I will get a mass mailer out reminding all Region 8 employees to follow this process).*
3. All new congressional inquiries will be forwarded from Rebecca Russo to EOC_Liaison *(I will not re-forward any requests that are sent to me and have already cc'd EOC_Liaison)* and assigned to the Program as appropriate by Rebecca. Rebecca will cc Janie Acevedo.
4. All new requests requiring HQ attention will be sent to Carolyn Levine and the EOC_Liaison box.
5. All responses will receive final review and approval by Area Command (facilitated by Janie Acevedo).

Do you approve me sending out a mass mailer reminding all Region 8 employees to follow this process?

Thank you,

Rebecca

Rebecca A. Russo

Region 8 Congressional and Intergovernmental Liaison

Office: 303-312-6757

Cell: 303-204-1930

From: Levine, Carolyn
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Russo, Rebecca; Distefano, Nichole
Cc: Acevedo, Janie
Subject: RE: Congressional inquiries

Looks good to me, thanks!

Carolyn Levine

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

U.S. EPA

(202) 564-1859

levine.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Russo, Rebecca
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 1:39 PM
To: Distefano, Nichole; Levine, Carolyn
Cc: Acevedo, Janie
Subject: RE: Congressional inquiries

I heard from Janie, and here is the process I am proposing. Please let me know your comments/edits so I can distribute here.

1. All congressional inquiries that come into Region 8 directly or from HQ should go to Rebecca Russo, Region 8 Regional Congressional Liaison (RCL).
2. Any Region 8 employee who receives a Congressional inquiry should forward it immediately to Rebecca Russo and cc EOC_Liaison. *(I will get a mass mailer out reminding all Region 8 employees to follow this process).*
3. All new congressional inquiries will be forwarded from Rebecca Russo to EOC_Liaison (*I*

will not re-forward any requests that are sent to me and have already cc'd EOC_Liaison) and assigned to the Program as appropriate by Rebecca. Rebecca will cc Janie Acevedo.

4. All new requests requiring HQ attention will be sent to Carolyn Levine and the EOC_Liaison box.
5. All responses will receive final review and approval by Area Command (facilitated by Janie Acevedo).

Rebecca A. Russo

Region 8 Congressional and Intergovernmental Liaison

Office: 303-312-6757

Cell: 303-204-1930

From: Russo, Rebecca
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:05 AM
To: Distefano, Nichole; Levine, Carolyn
Cc: Acevedo, Janie
Subject: RE: Congressional inquires

Could we perhaps work on a process that is clear and can be disseminated widely to reduce some of the confusion and flurry of activity?

Here is a draft:

1. Congressional requests come into Region 8, generally Rebecca Russo, RCL. Any other Region 8 employees who receive Congressional requests should forward immediately to Rebecca Russo and cc EOC_Liaison. **(I will get a mass mailer out reminding all Region 8 employees to follow this process).**
2. All new requests will be forwarded from Rebecca Russo to EOC_Liaison (I will not re-forward any requests that are sent to me and already have EOC_Liaison cc'd) and This is where we need to figure it out. Am I to send all requests to Janie Acevedo to then resend back to Region 8 programs for review, or may I send directly to the program and cc Janie? Please let me know how you would like this to work because it seems like I can be of some use here sending the requests directly to the folks who need to respond instead of sending it up to Area

Command to come back down here. Please advise.

3. All new requests requiring HQ attention will be sent to Carolyn Levine and the EOC_Liaison box.
4. Rebecca is keeping a master list of requests to try to keep some order with this process.

Thank you.

Rebecca

Rebecca A. Russo

Region 8 Congressional and Intergovernmental Liaison

Office: 303-312-6757

Cell: 303-204-1930

From: Harrison, Melissa
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 8:58 AM
To: Purchia, Liz; StClair, Christie
Cc: Russo, Rebecca; Acevedo, Janie
Subject: Re: Congressional inquires

Janie will be the lead PIO in Durango helping to route the requests and getting answers. Please work with her directly on this project. Thanks!

From: Purchia, Liz
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 8:12 PM
To: StClair, Christie
Cc: Harrison, Melissa
Subject: FW: Congressional inquires

From: Distefano, Nichole
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 8:12 PM
To: Purchia, Liz
Subject: Re: Congressional inquires

This is the process EOC told us to use. Specifically said all R8 specific inquiries should be routed through EOC and Chris Ruhl.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 13, 2015, at 7:37 PM, Purchia, Liz <Purchia.Liz@epa.gov> wrote:

From: StClair, Christie
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 7:37 PM
To: EOC Public Information
Cc: Harrison, Melissa; Purchia, Liz
Subject: Fwd: Congressional inquires

Hello Team EOC,

A request has come in for the JIC PIOs to handle congressional inquiries.

We are not in a position to do that. And our PIOs don't feel comfortable in that role.

Once we finalize the q and a's and have them approved, we can definitely share them with OCR. But beyond that we'd need an OCR rep added to the JIC.

Make sense?

Thanks,

Christie

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

Environmental Protection Agency

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Acevedo, Janie" <Acevedo.Janie@epa.gov>

Date: August 13, 2015 at 5:21:26 PM MDT

To: "StClair, Christie" <StClair.Christie@epa.gov>, "Harrison, Melissa" <Harrison.Melissa@epa.gov>

Subject: Congressional inquires

Attached are all 3 of the inquires in one file that are pending that we discussed.

From: Ruhl, Christopher
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Harrison, Melissa; Acevedo, Janie
Subject: Fwd: Congressional inquiries re: prior work at mine

Another

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Levine, Carolyn" <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov>
To: "Ruhl, Christopher" <Ruhl.Christopher@epa.gov>
Subject: Fw: Congressional inquiries re: prior work at mine

hi Chris,

Josh said you might be able to help with this (and next) email. Let me know if I should direct this to someone else.

thanks,

Carolyn

Carolyn Levine
Office of Congressional Affairs
U.S. EPA
(202) 564-1859
levine.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Levine, Carolyn
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 3:33 PM
To: EOC_Manager,; EOC_Deputy_Manager,
Subject: Congressional inquiries re: prior work at mine

Below are 2 questions from Chairman Inhofe's staff regarding prior work at the Red and Bonita mine. Please let me know who can assist with responding, thanks.

1. According to a March 2013 Pollution Report for the Red and Bonita mine (excerpt below), EPA did work to investigate and control the hydrologic pressure at Red and Bonita before beginning work. What similar actions were taken or planned at Gold King? There was a concern about hydraulic pressure at Red and Bonita.

“In 2010 EPA also initiated an investigation to determine the extent of blockage in the Red and Bonita adit. As part of that effort, a bore hole was drilled approximately 30 feet beyond the face of the hillside slope and adit opening, and it was determined to drill into the void of the adit/tunnel. At that location a monitoring well, used to monitor the impounded water within the adit, was installed, to determine if the adit blockage was under hydraulic pressure from a possible mine pool. This was necessary to determine before the removal of the collapsed portal in 2011. It was necessary to remove the blockage to allow future entry to assess the hydrogeologic and geotechnical conditions in the adit. In addition, a future blowout of the blockage was a potential risk if the debris had not been removed. During removal of the collapsed rock debris at the portal a large volume of water was pumped (multiple times) from the adit in order to control both the pressure against the soil/rock mass and to prevent the turbid water from releasing. All disturbed water pumped during portal excavation and later during mine entry activities received a flocculent and/or was filtered prior to release into Cement Creek. A new mine portal was installed in October 2011.”

2. Re: the attached article from High Country News, was a bore hole drilled at Gold King like the one described below at Red and Bonita? Or did EPA just proceed to remove the blockage at the portal?

“And they admit that before tinkering with the mine, they should have taken better steps to mitigate a possible disaster, such as drilling into the mine from the top to assess the situation without the danger of busting the dam.”

Thank you,

Carolyn

Carolyn Levine

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

U.S. EPA

(202) 564-1859

levine.carolyn@epa.gov

<Congressional Inquires.docx>